The City of Durham Trust (Registered charity number 502132)

PLANNING APPLICATIONS RESPONDED TO: 22 June to 19 July 2022

Ref.	Location	Work	Date	Officer	Response
From DCC weekly list 6/6:					
22/01588/VOC	Lowes Barn Rec. Gnd	VOC 2 (approved plans)	21/6	Jennings	Support
22/01568/LB	Dun Cow Cottage, Dun Cow Lane	Replacement render to front and rear	22/6	Penman	Support
From DCC weekly	From DCC weekly list 13/6:				
22/01606/FPA	50 The Avenue	Repl. rear ext'n, ext'l store, bay window, etc	28/6	O'Connor	Objection
22/01574/FPA	15 St Oswalds Drive	Retention of CoU of woodland to garden with garage (retrosp.)	29/6	Fenwick	Objection
Amendments:					
21/01789/FPA	Land at St John's Road, Neville's Cross	12 townhouse dwellings with assoc. works	29/6	Morina	Objection restated
From DCC weekly list 21/6:					
22/01650/FPA	1 Larches Road	HMO extension (6-bed to 10-bed)	4/7	O'Connor	Objection

PLANNING APPLICATIONS NOTED: 22 June to 19 July 2022

Ref.	Location	Work	Date	Officer	
From DCC weekly list 13/6:					
22/01577/FPA 22/01578/LB	19 The Village, Brancepeth	Internal re-config., repairs/ refurb & replacement extensions	30/6	Scott	
From DCC weekly list 21/6:					
22/01418/FPA	14 Mowbray Street	HMO ext'n (5-bed to 7-bed)	8/7	Morina	
22/01646/FPA	9 The Riverwalk	CoU: retail to drinking + food	8/7	Penman	
From DCC weekly list 27/6:					
22/01748/FPA	Longridge, Potters Bank	Single storey front extension	13/7	Scott	
22/01806/FPA 22/01807/LB	Lightfoot House, St Chads College, 19-20 North Bailey	Replacement flue and associated works	13/7	Fenwick	
22/01690/FPA	Unit 1, Milburngate Bar, Milburngate	Shopfront alterations, side access door & seating to front	15/7	Hurton	

PLANNING APPLICATIONS NOTED AT THE MEETING (19 July 2022)

Ref.	Location	Work	Date	Officer	
From DCC weekly list 4/7:					
22/01710/FPA	HSBC, 1 Saddler Street	Installation of new CCTV camera	22/7	Ackerman	
22/01816/FPA	Shippon House, Smithy Farm, Sherburn House	Replacement of some windows and doors with uPVC	20/7	Ackerman	
From DCC weekly list 11/7:					
22/01736/FPA	7 Little Court, Aykley Heads	Continuation of use of land for private garden with fence	27/7	Ollivere	
22/01691/AD	Unit 1 Milburngate Bar	Signage and umbrellas	28/7	Hurton	
22/01950/TPO	21 Dalton Crescent, Neville's Cross	Tree works	29/7	Ackerman	
From DCC weekly list 18/7:					
22/01949/FPA	17 North Crescent	Single storey rear extension	4/8	Scott	
22/00665/FPA	6 Juniper Way	Single storey rear extension	5/8	Scott	

OUTCOMES TO PREVIOUS RESPONSES (decided since 21 June 2022)

Ref.	Location	Work	Trust's response	Decision/Date	
22/00644/FPA 22/00682/AD	35 Silver Street	CoU to café takeaway (sui generis)	OBJECT	APPROVED 1/7	
Reason(s):	The application site is located within the Durham city centre primary shopping area where the introduction of a café takeaway is not considered to adversely affect the vitality or viability of the town centre and as such is acceptable in principle. Therefore, subject to conditions relating to opening hours of the café and the use of externally located equipment the development would not have any adverse impact upon residential amenity, visual amenity or highway safety. In addition, the development would sustain and where appropriate enhance the special historic character, appearance and setting of the CA and WHS. On this basis, the proposal is considered to accord with Policies 6, 9, 21, 22, 29, 31, 44 & 45 of the CDP, Parts 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 15 & 16 of the NPPF and Policies S1, H1, H2, E3 & E4 of the DCNP and Section 72 of the Planning (LBs & CAs) Act 1990.				
22/01005/FPA	The Waterside Bldg, Riverside Place	CoU: office HQ to higher education	CONCERNS		
Reason(s):	The socio-economic benefits associated with the proposal would benefit not only Durham University but also County Durham and the wider North East area both individually and as part of the wider programme of investment in Durham City. Furthermore, the introduction of students at Freemans Place would add to the vibrancy of the northern part of Durham city centre, acting as an anchor for pedestrian footfall and spending within the city. The proposal has generated some public interest with representations reflecting the issues and concerns of those making representations. These have been weighed along with other responses including those of statutory consultees that have raised no objections to the scheme based on the submitted details and assessments and subject to conditions. Whilst mindful of the public representations it is considered that these are not sufficient to outweigh the planning judgement in favour of the proposed development. It is considered that the proposed development accords with relevant policies of the CDP, the Durham City NP and relevant sections of the NPPF.				
22/00970/AD	Units 6B and 6C, Freemans Place	3 internally illuminated fascia signs (Fat Buddha)	OBJECT	APPROVED 8/7	
Reason(s):	It is considered the proposals will cause no adverse impact to the river frontage or harm to the surrounding designated Durham city centre CA. It is therefore considered the signage is an acceptable form of development and accords with Policies G1, H2 & S1 of the City of Durham NP, Policies 29, 31 & 44 of the CDP plus Parts 12 & 16 of NPPF and Section 72 of the Planning (LBs & CAs) Act 1990.				
22/00139/FPA	The Beauty Spot, Saddlers Yard	4-bed HMO + linked loft spaces (communal areas)	OBJECT	APPROVED 13/7	
Reason(s):	The development is considered acceptable in principle and would accord with the requirements of Policy 16 3i) of the CDP as although 70.4% of properties within 100m of the site are Class N exempt from Council Tax as being wholly occupied by students or are subject to extant planning permission for a change of use to HMO, this is a result of these being predominantly commercial. In addition, it is considered that the development could be satisfactorily accommodated without adverse impact upon residential amenity, adjacent occupiers, the vitality and viability of the retail centre or highway safety in accordance with the aims of Policies 16, 21, 29 & 31 of the CDP, Policies E3, S1 of the DCNP and Parts 9, 12 & 15 of the NPPF. The proposal is also considered to accord with Sections 66 & 72 of the Planning (LBs & CAs) Act 1990, Policies 44 & 45 of the CDP, Policies H1 & H2 of the DCNP and Part 16 of the NPPF in that it would preserve, sustain and enhance the special historic value, significance, setting and character of the CA, WHS and nearby LBs subject to conditions.				
APP/X1355/W/22 /3297345	Fernhill, Newcastle Rd, Crossgate Moor	Stables to C3 dwelling	OBJECT	DISMISSED 14/7	
Reason(s):	The proposed development would be inappropriate development and the Framework establishes that substantial weight should be given to any harm to the Green Belt. In addition, there would be a minimal loss of openness. On the other hand, the appeal scheme has been carefully designed to use the topography of the site to minimise the effect of the dwelling and would provide an additional dwelling. However, this other consideration does not clearly outweigh the totality of harm which is the test that they have to meet. Consequently, very special circumstances do not exist.				
22/00951/FPA	The Beeches,	CoU of highway land for parking	OBJECT	APPROVED 19/7	
Reason(s):	Lowes Barn Bank to front The development is considered acceptable in principle and by reason of its size, scale, layout and materials could be satisfactorily accommodated without adverse impact upon the appearance, character, design and scale of the existing dwelling or surrounding area and				

would not have a significant detrimental impact upon the level of amenity enjoyed by neighbouring occupiers, highway safety or archaeology. It is therefore considered the proposal is an acceptable form of development in terms of design, scale and appearance and accords with NPPF Section 12, 16, Policies 21, 29, 31 & 44 of the CDP, relevant guidance contained in the Council's SPD and Policy S1 of the NP.