

THE CITY OF DURHAM TRUST

c/o Blackett, Hart & Pratt, LLP
Aire House
Mandale Business Park
Belmont
Durham, DH1 1TH
16 October 2022

Web site: <http://www.DurhamCity.org>

Dear Ms Jennings,

DM/22/02761/FPA Fernhill Newcastle Road Crossgate Moor Durham DH1 4JZ

Proposed Redevelopment of Stables to Provide 1no 3 Bed Dwelling

The Trust wishes to object to this application based on its negative impact on the Green Belt and failure against County Durham (CDP) and Durham City Neighbourhood Plan Policies.

Context

This application is yet another continuation of previous attempts to secure extra housing on the estate, unfortunate in the context of the recent appeal result. The site is Green Belt and outside of the built up area as defined in the CDP. The Green Belt extends over the A167 and adjoins Flass Vale and the Local Nature Reserve. It forms part of the green 'wedge' that links Flass Vale to the countryside setting of the city. The site's inclusion in the Green Belt was confirmed through the examination process that ratified the County Durham Plan. In relation to strategic green infrastructure, Fernhill sits in the neck of the wedge in an important position for retaining the integrity of the landscape.

Fernhill is a small 19thC estate with a main house and adjoining lodge conversion plus gate lodge and ancillary buildings. It is set in its own extensive landscaped grounds. The whole should be considered as a local heritage asset even if not specifically noted in the Neighbourhood Plan. It is a key component of local character and a green asset. It forms part of the boundary to the World Heritage Site (WHS) inner setting, it is part of the ridgeline area defining the city inner 'bowl'. As the new proposal continues to take in a substantial area of the site landscaping in addition to the current stable block it cannot all be previously developed land.

Proposal

The proposed house will be created in reshaped and excavated area that is also used for access. With terraces and road, it is an extensive development close to the main house and its adjoining lodge. The quality is not sufficient to consider the proposal unique or outstanding as a design. Simply using the stable format and adapting it to a house form fails to work as a concept. As a house, it has no relationship to the main house, adjoining buildings or gate lodge. There is no reference to the loss or lack of viability of the stables and the potential requirement to replace them within the Fernhill grounds or nearby.

THE CITY OF DURHAM TRUST

Impact

It is inaccurate to claim the new house will only have the same impact as the stable building it replaces. This is obviously not the case, there is a substantial retaining wall and terracing and a new road link/parking area. This is underemphasised in the application submissions. It is equally inaccurate to claim that all the new proposal site is on 'previously developed land' - it occupies a substantial section of the house setting. The Fernhill site is far too large to be considered as only private residential garden space and is a landscaped estate forming a key component of the greenspace surrounding Durham City.

Using a previous approval for an ancillary building to the main house with clearly lesser impact as an entry point for a new house development should trigger greater scrutiny and is a potentially very poor precedent. It impacts negatively on the site openness and therefore the quality and value of the site as green belt. It expands the developed area within the green wedge linking to Flass Vale. The green break across the A167 is valued by the community. It reduces the extent of the landscaped house surrounds, impacting on the area's value as a green asset. The new house breaks into the estate character of the site creating a discordant and large new element that impacts negatively on character. It also impacts negatively on the estate as a local heritage asset. There are no exceptional circumstances relating to design or public benefit that weigh against the negative impacts of the scheme. Matching the height of the stable block does not help in mitigating the negative impacts of the development. Public accessibility or sight of the proposed house are also not factors in mitigating against negative impact. The estate has its own intrinsic qualities and role in greenspace that are not reduced by lack of views into the site. Increasing the amount of vehicle traffic accessing the site from the A167 creates additional hazards for road users.

Policies

The proposal fails against the following policies:

County Durham Plan

Policy 10 Development in the Countryside

Development of Existing Buildings

General Design Principles for all Development in the Countryside

The proposal is new development in the countryside and fails by virtue of its siting, scale, design because:

l. It gives give rise to unacceptable harm to the heritage and intrinsic character of the countryside individually and cumulatively and cannot be adequately mitigated or compensated.

m. It contributes to increased merging or coalescence of neighbouring developed area by its siting in the centre of an important green wedge.

o. It impacts adversely upon the setting, townscape qualities of the estate and cannot be adequately mitigated or compensated for.

As new development in the countryside it (t.) impacts negatively on the site's high environmental value.

Policy 20 Green Belt

This refers to National Policies as expressed in the National Planning Policy Framework NPPF and is relevant as follows:

NPPF 13. Protecting Green Belt land

Para. 137. The current site prevents urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open and upholding the essential characteristics of Green Belt openness and permanence.

THE CITY OF DURHAM TRUST

Para. 138. The site upholds Green Belt purposes:

- a) by checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas.
- c) by assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment.
- d) by preserving the setting and special character of Durham as a historic 'town'

Para. 149. The proposal is not an exception because: d) It is the replacement of a building and is materially larger than the one it replaces – the developed area is significantly larger than the existing stable block it replaces. And (g) It is an extensive redevelopment of previously developed land that will have a greater impact on the openness of the Green Belt than the existing development and will harm the openness of the Green Belt, unmitigated by providing affordable housing (the house is beyond 'affordable' definitions).

Policy 29 Sustainable Design

a. The development fails to contribute positively to the area's character, identity, heritage significance, townscape, and landscape features.

Durham City Neighbourhood Plan

Policy S1: Sustainable Development Requirements of all Development and Redevelopment Sites Including all New Building, Renovations and Extensions

The development proposals do not demonstrate the following principles:

Conservation, preservation, and enhancement of Our Neighbourhood

- c) Harmonising with its context in terms of scale, layout, density, massing, materials, and hard and soft landscaping
- d) Conserving the significance of the setting, character, local distinctiveness, and the contribution made to the sense of place by a non-designated heritage asset.

Policy H3: Our Neighbourhood Outside the Conservation Areas

The development proposals do not demonstrate an understanding of the area of the proposed development and its relationship to its context as a whole.

The development proposals do not take into account or meet the following requirements, by:

- a) Failing to sustain and make a positive contribution to the character and distinctiveness of the area; and
- b) By avoiding the loss of open space that contributes to the character and appearance of the surrounding area; and
- d) Not having scale, density, massing, form, layout, and landscaping appropriate to the context and setting of the area.

Policy G1: Protecting and Enhancing Green and Blue Infrastructure - Protecting green and blue assets

Protecting and enhancing green corridors

The development proposals have negative impact on a green corridor and fail to maintain and enhance its functionality and connectivity.

The Trust therefore objects based on the negative impact of the proposals and their failure against the above policies.

Yours sincerely

John Lowe,
Chair, City of Durham Trust