# The City of Durham Trust 

(Registered charity number 502132)
SUMMARY DATA: 17 January to 20 February 2024

| PLANNING APPLICATIONS <br> considered | OBJECTIONS <br> submitted | SUPPORT <br> submitted | COMMENTS/CONCERNS <br> submitted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 3 | - | - |

PLANNING APPLICATIONS RESPONDED TO: 17 January to 20 February 2024

| Ref. | Location | Work | Date | Officer | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From DCC weekly list 15/1: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24/00007/FPA | 44 Claypath | Ground floor office (E) to small HMO (C4) | 30/1 | Hurton | Objection |
| 24/00016/FPA | 22 Nevilledale Terrace | Refurb. of roof, windows, doors etc | 31/1 | Walton | Objection |
| From DCC weekly list 5/2: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24/00110/FPA | Crestholme, The Avenue | C3 dwelling to C4 HMO | 20/2 | Richards | Objection |

PLANNING APPLICATIONS NOTED: 17 January to 20 February 2024

| Ref. | Location | Work | Date | Officer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From DCC weekly list 15/1: |  |  |  |  |
| 23/03325/FPA | Anvil, Abbey Road, Pity Me | Dwelling (C3) to supported living accommodation (C2) | 5/2 | Spurgeon |
| Amendments: |  |  |  |  |
| 22/01606/FPA | 50 The Avenue | Repl. rear ext'n, bay window, etc | 1/2 | Scott |
| 23/02224/FPA | 4 Nevilledale Terrace | Replacement timber sash windows | 23/2 | Woodruff |
| From DCC weekly list 22/1: |  |  |  |  |
| 24/00092/FPA | Basement Flat, 2 Albert St. | Retention of PIR roofing insulation | 9/2 | White |
| 24/00130/FPA | Ushaw Historic House, Chapels and Gardens | Temporary car park to surfaced visitor car park | 12/2 | Henderson |
| 24/00069/FPA | 8 Hilda Close | Dwelling (C3) to 4-bed HMO (C4) | 27/2 | Penman |
| From DCC weekly list 29/1: |  |  |  |  |
| 24/00136/FPA | 8 Nevilledene, Crossgate Moor | Ext'ns, garage conversion, etc | 16/2 | Beveridge |
| 23/02806/LB | Mill House, South St. Banks | Replacement exterior doors | 19/2 | White |

PLANNING APPLICATIONS NOTED AT THE MEETING (20 February 2024)

| Ref. | Location | Work | Date | Officer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From DCC weekly list 5/2: |  |  |  |  |
| 24/00195/FPA | 3 Nevilledene, Crossgate Moor | Garage conversion/roof change | 22/2 | Walton |
| 24/00197/LB | 7 Owengate | Internal + external alterations | 22/2 | Woodruff |
| 24/00206/TPO | Land east of Symeon Manor, 1 Symeon Court | Various tree works | 26/2 | Beveridge |
| Amendments: |  |  |  |  |
| 23/02439/FPA | 28 Albert Street | Conservatory to rear extension + rooflights | 27/2 | White |
| From DCC weekly list 12/2: |  |  |  |  |
| 24/00316/FPA | Durham CCC, Riverside Chester-le-Street | 152-bed hotel with ext’l tiered seating overlooking the pitch | 4/3 | Harvey |
| 24/00009/FPA | 5 Valeside | Demolition of existing for new dwelling | 7/3 | Sandford |

## From DCC weekly list 19/2:

| 24/00347/FPA | 23 Witton Grove, <br> Framwellgate Moor | Large extensions | $7 / 3$ | White |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24/00256/LB | The Grey Tower, North Road | Rooflights and new windows/ <br> door | $14 / 3$ | Fenwick |
| Appeal: |  |  |  |  |
| 23/02118/FPA <br> (APP/X1355/W/ <br> 24/3337793) | 12 The Hallgarth | Dwellinghouse (C3) to HMO (C4) <br> with associated internal <br> alterations | $11 / 3$ | Walton |

OUTCOMES TO PREVIOUS RESPONSES (decided since 16 January 2024)

| Ref. | Location | Work |  | Decision/Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23/03179/FP } \\ & \text { 23/03180/LB } \end{aligned}$ | 6-7 Market Place | Removal of Barclays signage and fittings | OBJECT | APPROVED 26/ |
|  | DCC's Design \& Conservation officer was reconsulted when it was found the application proposed to remove the "BARCLAYS BANK" chambers texts above the front door. The officer advised that this feature must be retained, as it is described within the listing description and is also an important feature, signifying the original/historic use of the building going forwards. Following this advice the applicant supplied amended plans retaining the text carved into the stone above the door to the front. As such, although there would be some negative impact, with the retention of the 'BARCLAYS BANK' text above the front door and given the proposal relates to the removal of modern features and fittings, it would be recommended that the application accords with the requirements of NPPF Sect. 16 and CDP Policy 44. In light of the above, and noting that the proposal relates to the removal of modern features and fittings, the development would not have a significant impact on the significance of the LB or that of the wider streetscene and CA and would therefore accord with the aims of Sections 66 \& 72 of the Planning (LBs \& CAs) Act 1990, Part 16 of the NPPF and Policy 44 of the CDP. In addition, it is not considered that the works would be harmful to the visual amenity of the wider area and would not be dominant or appear as incongruous additions to the host building in accordance with CDP Policy 29 and Part 12 of the NPPF. |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { APP/X135 } \\ & 23 / 33280 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 Larches Road | HMO extension (6-bed to 9-bed) (amended) | OBJECT | DISMISSED 30/1 |
| Reason(s): | The proposal conflicts with the dev't plan and there are no other considerations which indicate that a decision should be taken other than in accordance with the dev't plan. |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { APP/X1355/V } \\ & 23 / 3327209 \end{aligned}$ | Magdalene Heights, Gilesgate | Dwellinghouse (C3) to small HMO (C4) | OBJECT | DISMISSED 31/1 |
| Reason(s): | Proposal conflicts with the dev't plan taken as a whole. There are no other considerations which indicate that the decision should be made other than in accord. with the dev't plan. |  |  |  |
| 23/02301/FPA | 1-6 Lambton Walk | Front windows: timber to uPVC | COMMENT | APPROVED 31/1 |
| Reason(s): | The proposed development would accord with the requirements of the relevant development plan policies. [Cond. 3: the materials to be used in the window replacement shall be aluminium (colour finish RAL7016) with a matt finish in a darker anthracite grey]. |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23/03666/FPA } \\ & \text { 23/03667/LB } \end{aligned}$ | University College, Durham Castle | Renewal of drainage system to north terrace | SUPPORT | APPROVED 31/1 |
| Reason(s): | The development would have no impact on the significance of the building, setting of the Durham WHS and CA and would therefore accord with the aims of Sect. 66 of the Planning (LBs \& CAs) Act 1990, NPPF Parts 15 \& 16 and CDP Policies 29, 31, 35, 42, 44 \& 45. |  |  |  |
| 22/02514/LB | 7 The College | Removal of store for parking etc | OBJECT | WITHDRAWN $2 / 2$ |
| 23/03526/FPA | 4 Back Mount Joy | Single storey rear extension | OBJECT | APPROVED 5/2 |
| Reason(s): | Proposal has been assessed against relevant policies and whilst it displays some conflict with the requirements of Part 3 of Policy 16, in that the extension could potentially create additional bed spaces where there are currently $41.5 \%$ of properties registered as exempt from Council Tax within 100m of the site, there is a fallback position whereby the extension could be constructed using PDR without the need for permission and this must be afforded significant weight in the determination. Consequently, the proposed dev't is considered acceptable in principle. In addition it is considered that the dev't would accord with the requirements of CDP Policies 29, 31 \& 44, NPPF Parts 8, 9, 12, 15 \& 16 and Part 72 of the Town and Country Planning (LB \& CA) Act 1990 in that it would have no detrimental impact upon residential amenity, the character and appearance of the CA and it is considered that the proposals conform with these policies as the character and appearance of the host dwelling and the surrounding area would not be negatively affected and there would be no adverse impact on residential amenity. |  |  |  |


| 23/03752/FPA | Cross View House, Neville's Cross | Dwellinghouse (C3) to large HMO (sui generis) | OBJECT | REFUSED 7/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reason(s): | The proposed change of use of the existing C3 dwelling to a large HMO is unacceptable, due to $27.8 \%$ of existing properties within 100 m of the application site already being registered as student lets exempt from Council Tax, and therefore exceeding the $10 \%$ threshold set out in CDP Policy 16 Part 3. The proposals would not benefit from any other exceptions within this part of the policy. The proposals would therefore result in further imbalance in the community and have a detrimental impact on quality of life and community cohesion for surrounding residents in contravention of CDP Policies 16, 29 \& 31 and NPPF Paras $96 \& 135$. |  |  |  |
| 23/02209/FPA | 74 Hallgarth Street | Dwellinghouse (C3) to HMO (C4) | OBJECT | WITHDRAWN 8/2 |
| 22/01606/FPA | 50 The Avenue | Replacement rear extension, external store, bay window, etc | OBJECT | APPROVED 12/2 |
| Reason(s): | The dev't is considered acceptable in principle and by reason of its size, scale, layout and materials could be satisfactorily accommodated without adverse impact upon the appearance, character, design and scale of the existing dwelling or surrounding area and would not have a significant detrimental impact upon the level of amenity enjoyed by neighbouring occupiers. The dev't is not considered to result in any unacceptable highway safety impacts and is therefore considered an acceptable form of dev't in terms of design, scale and appearance in accordance with NPPF Sect. 12 and CDP Policies 21, 29 \& 31. In addition, the dev't would preserve the special character and appearance of the Durham City CA in accordance with the requirements of CDP Policy 44, NPPF Part 16 and Sect. 72 of the Planning (LB \& CA) Act 1990. |  |  |  |
| 24/00007/FPA | 44 Claypath | Gnd fl office (E) to small HMO (C4) | OBJECT | WITHDRAWN 13/2 |
| 23/03345/FPA | 10 Market Place | New shop window and doorway | SUPPORT | APPROVED 20/2 |
| Reason(s): | Overall, the replacement of a modern shop front with a traditional design would have a positive impact on the CA, and would therefore be in accordance with the NPPF Part 16, CDP Policies 29, 44 \& 45, NP Policies S1, H1 \& H2 and Sect. 72 of the Planning (LB \& CA) Act. |  |  |  |

